Support against the condition-dependence of melanin plumage signals has relied on data from species exhibiting both melanin- and carotenoid-based plumage ornaments. As the mechanisms leading to variation in carotenoid- and melanin-based plumage differ fundamentally, these systems may not be ideal to assess the condition-dependence of melanin signals. Instead, we hypothesized that melanin-plumage is more likely to signal condition in purely achromatic species. We performed a meta-analysis reviewing evidence for condition-dependent melanic plumage: we compared the net effect size for the relationship between melanin traits and condition in species that are achromatic versus species that also display a carotenoid-based trait. Our results indicate that melanin plumage is condition-dependent in species of both plumage types. Contrarily to our prediction, this finding suggests that melanin ornament condition-dependence is not conditional on the context of other ornaments within a species. Instead, melanin ornaments should be viewed as potential condition-dependent signals in all species.

Condition-dependent ornaments are those for which an individual’s condition (either current, or at the time of feather growth) correlates with the quality of its plumage ornament. There has been great interest in the evolution of condition-dependent plumage signalling (Hill 2011), at least partly because condition-dependent plumage production mechanisms remain unresolved. As such, a particularly debated topic is whether melanin-based plumage may act in a condition-dependent manner. Several studies have generalized that black plumage is not costly to produce or maintain, and is thus not condition-dependent primarily because studies have failed to detect correlations between ornaments and condition in their study systems (Hill and Brawner 1998, Badyaev and Hill 2000, McGraw and Hill 2000, Senar et al. 2003). However, support against the condition-dependence of melanin-based signals has relied on data from species displaying simultaneously melanin- and carotenoid-based plumage ornaments (Badyaev and Hill 2000, McGraw and Hill 2000, Senar et al. 2003, Hill et al. 2009). Authors justify that these within-species comparisons are ideal for understanding the use of melanin- and carotenoid-based signals. The issue with this approach lies in the significantly different mechanisms through which carotenoid- and melanin-based signals are produced in birds: carotenoid pigments must be acquired through the diet while melanin pigments are produced de novo (reviewed by Griffith et al. 2006). Inherently, this difference makes carotenoid-based ornaments more likely to relate to variation in diet quality and co-vary with condition whereas the relationship between melanin-based signals and condition remains less evident. It is also possible that multiple ornaments trade-off with one another such that enhancing the expression of one plumage trait results in a lower quality ornament for the other (as with melanin and carotenoid signals; Alonso-Alvarez and Galván 2011). Lastly, multiple ornament theory does not predict the simultaneous evolution of two condition-related ornaments; instead multiple ornaments should signal different aspects of individual quality (Møller and Pomiankowsky 1993). As such, previous studies on species possessing both carotenoid- and melanin-based signals may have negatively biased support for the condition-dependence of melanin- relative to carotenoid-based plumage (although see Parker et al. 2003). Recent findings in species that lack any carotenoid plumage ornaments suggest that black plumage may indeed be condition-dependent (Gladbach et al. 2011, Guindre-Parker et al. 2013a). We hypothesized that melanin-based ornaments are more likely to serve as reliable indicators of condition in species that are achromatic compared to ones that also have a carotenoid-based trait. To examine this possibility, we performed a meta-analysis to test whether the condition-dependence of melanin-based plumage is stronger in achromatic species compared to species that display both carotenoid and melanin ornaments.

Revisiting the literature

We surveyed published studies on condition-dependent melanin plumage by searching Web of Science for studies that included ‘black plumage’ or ‘melanin plumage’, in
combination with the terms ‘condition’ or ‘quality’. Additionally, we surveyed the references of previous meta-analyses on melanin-based plumage for studies that also contained information on individual condition (Jawor and Breitwisch 2003, Roulin 2009, Meunier et al. 2011). We characterized species as either achromatic (primarily black, brown, grey or white) or chromatic (also possessing a carotenoid-based red, orange or yellow ornament) to investigate whether the presence of a carotenoid-based signal can influence the condition-dependence of a melanin signal. We excluded species with iridescent plumage as it is primarily structurally based (Shawkey et al. 2006), as well as because it is difficult to determine how birds perceive iridescence without more complex visual modeling. Lastly, we excluded studies from which we could not extract effect size information.

We performed a random effects meta-analysis using correlation coefficients (r) as the effect size when reported; otherwise, we used appropriate formulae to calculate r from other test statistics (as in Boncoraglio and Saino 2007). We calculated the weighted mean if multiple effect sizes existed for the same species, such that each species is represented only once in our meta-analysis (as in Boncoraglio and Saino 2007). We defined a positive effect size to indicate studies that found larger or darker melanin-based plumage to relate to better condition (i.e. better immune parameters, greater body condition or mass). Given our criteria, we identified 26 suitable studies that included 14 achromatic and 7 chromatic species (Supplementary material Appendix 1, Table A1). We calculated the summary effect size (a weighted mean) in R (ver. 2.14.2) using the package metacor, which standardizes effect sizes by converting r to Fisher’s Z. We calculated a pooled summary effect size for all species, as well as separate summary effect sizes for achromatic and chromatic species; we compared the summary effect size for achromatic and chromatic species using a two-tailed t-test. Lastly, we calculated the correlation between standardized effect size and sample size using spearman’s rank to determine whether a publication bias exists.

**Condition-dependent melanin signals**

Our review of the literature suggests that current conclusions on the lack of a relationship between melanin-based plumage and condition may need updating: we found support for a significant positive relationship between condition and melanin plumage (pooled achromatic and chromatic species; \( r = 0.23 \pm 0.05 \) and \( p = 0.00006 \)) where darker or larger areas of melanin plumage signal higher condition (Fig. 1). This relationship remained significant in both achromatic species and species that also displayed a carotenoid ornament (\( p < 0.0002 \)), and there was no significant difference between the mean effect size for achromatic versus chromatic species (\( t = 0.48, DF = 18.4, p = 0.64 \)). This finding does not support previous conclusions that melanin-based plumage is not condition-dependent (as in Badyaev and Hill 2000, Senar et al. 2003). Furthermore, this result refutes our hypothesis that melanin plumage condition-dependence differs between species that also have or lack a carotenoid signal.

Signal context can influence how receivers interpret a signal (territory location: Höglund et al. 1994; age: Badyaev and Duckworth 2003), and this context can include additional ornaments displayed by a species. Specifically, researchers are well aware that species can use multiple ornaments and that the use of a plumage signal may be relative to the other ornaments present in a species (Møller and Pomiankowski 1993). However, we found that melanin-based signals can act as condition-dependent ornaments regardless of whether or not species possess a carotenoid signal. While multiple ornaments can influence each other, even in achromatic species (Guindre-Parker et al. 2013b), our meta-analysis suggests that multiple ornaments do not affect the likelihood that melanin-based plumage will be condition-dependent.

While the number of articles considered in our meta-analysis is low, studies examining the condition-dependence of achromatic plumage are currently limited. There was a significant negative correlation between standardized effect size and sample size (spearman’s rank: \( \rho = -0.63, n = 26, p = 0.0052 \)), suggesting that studies with negative effects (in opposite direction of predictions) or no effect are less likely to be published than ones with the predicted positive relationship between condition and melanin plumage. This perceived bias might be enhanced because published studies that failed to detect a relationship between melanin plumage and condition often did not report statistical results and were excluded from our analysis. Evidence for a publication bias may also suggest that researchers have been discouraged from pursuing or publishing studies on the condition-dependence of black plumage. However, despite the limited publications that were available to be included in our analysis, we detected a net significant positive relationship between melanin plumage and condition. While interpreting our results in

![Figure 1. Effect sizes for the relationship between melanin plumage ornaments and individual condition. Each species’ scientific name is indicated to the left of the figure, with boxes indicating the effect size (correlation coefficient r) and the whiskers indicating the standard error for each study. The size of boxes reflects studies’ relative sample sizes. The summary effect is indicated by a diamond at the bottom of the plot, where r = 0.23 ± 0.05 and p = 0.00006*.](image-url)
light of the publication bias is challenging, our findings refute
the notion that melanin-based plumage is not condition-depen-
dent. Studies on the condition-dependence of black plumage
have been scarce relative to carotenoid signals yet we are hope-
ful that our results will encourage future research to explore the
potential condition-dependence of achromatic plumage across
a variety of contexts before generalizing on how achromatic
plumage may or may not relate to individual condition.

At this time, few studies have performed experiment-
al manipulations (i.e. manipulated condition) and studies
included in our analysis are largely correlative (although see
McGraw and Hill 2000). This limits our understanding of
how condition causally mediates melanin ornament qual-
ity: for example, melanin ornaments may signal social rank,
with dominant individuals also being in better condition.
Piault et al. (2012) recently manipulated body condition and
showed that individuals in better body condition produced
larger black bands on their tail feathers; we urge researchers
to consider manipulating condition in future studies as well.

Costs of melanin-based plumage

Our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the pro-
duction and condition-dependence of carotenoid-based
plumage has advanced more rapidly than for melanin-based
plumage (McGraw 2006). The costs of producing black
feathers remain largely unresolved (Hill 2011), and this has
also likely contributed to the perception that these orna-
ments cannot signal condition.

While the costs of melanin production are largely unre-
solved, the process of melanogenesis is well documented
(Prota 1992). Melanocytes are specialized melanin-producing
cells derived from embryonic neural crest cells that migrate
to the epidermis during development; here they differen-
tiate and begin synthesizing melanin. The activity of mel-
anocytes is chiefly regulated by melanocortin 1 receptors,
membrane protein receptors that bind to melanocortin hor-
mones (Ducrest et al. 2008). Melanin is produced de novo by
melanosomes (small organelles found within melanocytes)
from the semi-essential amino acid tyrosine. Tyrosine is con-
verted to the intermediate dopaquinone, catalyzed by the
rate-limiting enzyme tyrosinase; dopaquinone can then be
converted to pheomelanin or eumelanin (if combined with the
non-essential amino acid cysteine versus converted to
leucodopachrome, respectively). These two melanin-pigment
types lead to different color phenotypes: high concentra-
tions of eumelanin cause dark, black coloration in feathers while
pheomelanin leads to buffy brown colors (McGraw 2008).

Once melanosomes have produced melanin pigments, they
migrate to the cell membrane in order to incorporate the
pigment into feathers or skin. The numerous steps of mel-
anogenesis show potential for condition-dependence, and
although little empirical work has explored these possibilities,
we speculate on these processes to identify promising areas
of research.

1) Melanogenesis is under genetic control and depen-
dent on cellular signals, such that melanin-production is
dependent on the cellular environment. As an example, the
mobilization and differentiation of melanocytes during
development is susceptible to developmental stressors, which
could ultimately alter the density and position of melano-
cytes within the epidermis (discussed in McGraw 2006).

Similarly, incorporating melanin into feathers requires the
intra-cellular movement of melanins, which is dependent
on motor proteins that are sensitive to cellular physiological
conditions.

2) Tyrosine can both be obtained through the diet, or
produced from the essential amino acid phenylalanine (which
must be obtained exogenously). These amino acids have the
potential to be limiting depending on the quality and quanti-
ty of various dietary items consumed (Poston et al. 2005).

This possibility has been highlighted previously yet few stud-
ies have examined this possibility (McGraw 2008).

3) Tyrosinase activity is the rate-limiting step in melano-
gensis (Prota 1992), such that regulators of this enzyme are
crucial to the production of melanin. This enzyme requires
mineral co-factors (i.e. copper, calcium), which are acquired
through the diet and have the potential to be limiting (dis-
cussed in McGraw 2008). Any other factors enhancing or
reducing tyrosinase activity would have the potential to
influence melanogenesis.

4) Genes regulating melanocortin 1 receptors can have
pleiotropic effects on immune function and glucocor-
ticoid stress responses (Ducrest et al. 2008), linking
melanin ornament production and individual variation
in condition.

5) A variety of hormones, which themselves may be con-
dition-dependent, can affect melanogenesis (e.g. luteiniz-
ing hormone stimulates the activity of tyrosinase: Hall 1969).
Increased glucocorticoids have been shown to reduce the con-
centration of pheomelanin in plumage, potentially through
a negative feedback loop with melanocortins (Roulin et al.
2008). Hormonal effects on melanogenesis have implica-
tions for the role that maternal effects (maternal hormones
transferred through the yolk; Love et al. 2013) may play in
affecting offspring melanin phenotype.

While we lack a thorough understanding of how melanin
synthesis may directly or indirectly vary with condition, evi-
dence from ecological studies linking a variety of condition
proxies and melanin ornaments is accumulating. Melanin-
based plumage correlates with body condition (Parejo et al.
2011), survival (Griffi th 2000), oxidative balance (Galván
and Alonso-Alvarez 2008), immunity (Guindre-Parker et al.
2013b), social rank (McGraw et al. 2003), and diet quality
(McGlothlin et al. 2007). Although challenging, investigat-
ing the biochemical links between melanin ornaments and
proxies of individual condition will be essential to further-
ing our understanding of how and when melanin-ornaments
reliably signal condition (suggested in Hill 2011). Integrat-
ing across disciplines (i.e. biochemistry, cellular biology)
may further help behavioural ecologists to apply new
methods for understanding these mechanisms (McGraw
2008). We would argue that tackling mechanism-based ques-
tions might be more feasible in achromatic species where
the mechanism of plumage production is relatively simpler
than species that display multiple signals produced by dif-
ferent mechanisms. Species with melanin-based colour poly-
morphisms may also provide a useful tool for the study of
melanogenesis.
Conclusion

Despite being an ancestral trait to coloured plumage (Stoddard and Prum 2011), purely achromatic plumage has been retained in multiple species both within and across avian families. Furthermore, black plumage can be a highly conspicuous and efficient mode of visual communication, particularly when contrasting black and white plumage patches are adjacent to one another (Endler 1992); melanin signals can also act to amplify other ornaments (Brooks 1996). In certain environments, black plumage signals may also be more contrasting relative to their background, making them easier to detect and assess (Wiley 2006). Together, this suggests that in a multitude of species, coloured plumage is not necessary to serve in effective visual communication. Furthermore, our review of the literature suggests that melanin-based plumage may signal condition even when species also display a carotenoid ornament. While the specific mechanisms linking black plumage production and condition remain unresolved, empirical studies reviewed herein have illuminated a multitude of potential costs that may enforce the condition-dependence of black plumage. Further studies on the function of melanin ornaments both in species with and without additional coloured plumage signals will be necessary to determine how black plumage functions in different contexts. These studies will be imperative in understanding how the diversity of plumage colours and patterns observed in birds has evolved.
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